



**CITY OF TUKWILA**  
Department of Community Development  
6300 Southcenter Boulevard, Tukwila, WA 98188  
Telephone: (206) 431-3670

**SEPA  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
REVIEW**

**APPLICATION**

<i>FOR STAFF USE ONLY Permits Plus TYPE: P-SEPA</i>	
Planner: Rebecca Fox	File Number: E15-0009
Application Complete Date: 7/6/15	Project File Number: PL13-0051
Application Incomplete Date:	Other File Numbers:

**NAME OF PROJECT/DEVELOPMENT:** 2014 portion of 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update (Addendum to Comprehensive Plan FEIS—File ##92-0053)

**LOCATION OF PROJECT/DEVELOPMENT:** Give street address or, if vacant, indicate lot(s), block and subdivision, access street, and nearest intersection.

*Applies city-wide*

**DEVELOPMENT COORDINATOR :**

The individual who:

- has decision making authority on behalf of the owner/applicant in meetings with City staff,
- has full responsibility for identifying and satisfying all relevant and sometimes overlapping development standards, and
- is the primary contact with the City to whom all notices and reports will be sent.

Name: Rebecca Fox \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone 206-431-3683 \_\_\_\_\_ FAX: \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail: Rebecca.fox@tukwilawa.gov \_\_\_\_\_

Signature: Rebecca Fox \_\_\_\_\_ Date: 7/6/15 \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Check items submitted with application</b>	<b>Information Required.</b> <i>May be waived in unusual cases, upon approval of both Public Works and Planning</i>
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND ANALYSIS:</b>	
	9. Vicinity Map with site location.
	10. Provide four (4) copies of any sensitive area studies such as wetland or geotechnical reports if needed per Tukwila’s Sensitive Areas Ordinance (TMC 18.45). See the <a href="#">Geotechnical Report Guidelines</a> and <a href="#">Sensitive Area Special Study Guidelines</a> for additional information.
	11. Any drawings needed to describe the proposal other than those submitted with the underlying permit. Maximum size 24” x 36”.

**City of Tukwila  
Endangered Species Act Screening Checklist**

Date: July 6, 2015

**Applicant Name:** City of Tukwila DCD

**Street Address:** 6300 Southcenter Bl Suite 100

**City, State, Zip:** Tukwila, WA 98188

**Telephone:** (206)431-3670

**DIRECTIONS:**

This Screening Checklist has been designed to evaluate the potential for your project to result in potential "take" of Chinook salmon, Coho salmon, or Cutthroat trout as defined by Section 9 of the Endangered Species Act. The checklist includes a series of "Yes" or "No" questions about your project, organized into four parts. Starting with Part A on Page 2, read each question carefully, mark the appropriate "Yes" or "No," and **proceed to the next question as directed by the checklist**. To answer these questions, you may need to refer to site plans, grading and drainage plans, critical areas studies, or other documents you have prepared for your project. The City will evaluate your responses to determine if "take" is indicated.

**Part A: Please review and answer each question carefully. Consider all phases of your project including, but not limited to, construction, normal operation, potential emergency operation, and ongoing and scheduled maintenance. Continue to the next question as directed for each No or Yes answer.**

<p><b>1-0</b></p>	<p>Will the project require any form of grading? Grading is defined as any excavating, filling, clearing, or creation of impervious surface, or any combination thereof, which alters the existing ground surface of the earth (TMC 18.06.370). <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 2-0  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 1-1 (Page 3)</p>
<p><b>2-0</b></p>	<p>Will the project require any form of clearing? Clearing means the removal or causing to be removed, through either direct or indirect actions, any vegetation from a site (18.06.145). <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-0  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 2-1 (Page 4)</p>
<p><b>3-0</b></p>	<p>Will the project require work, during any time of the project, below the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers or in wetlands? Ordinary high water mark is the mark that is found by examining the bed and banks of a stream and ascertaining where the presence and action of waters are so common and usual as to distinctly mark the soil from that of the abutting upland, in respect to vegetation (see TMC Chapter 18.06, Page 18-15). <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 4-0  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-1 (Page 5)</p>
<p><b>4-0</b></p>	<p>Will the project result in the processing or handling, storage, or treatment of hazardous substances? This does not include the proper use of fuel stored in a vehicle's fuel tank. Hazardous substances are any liquid, solid, gas, or sludge, including any material, substance, product, commodity, or waste, regardless of quantity, that exhibits the characteristics or criteria of hazardous waste as defined by Washington Administrative Code 173-303 (TMC 18.06.385). This includes fuel or other chemicals stored on-site during construction. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 5-0  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 5-0</p>
<p><b>5-0</b></p>	<p>Will the project result in the withdrawal, injection, or interception of groundwater? Examples of projects that may affect groundwater include, but are not limited to: construction of a new well, change in water withdrawals from an existing well, projects involving prolonged construction dewatering, projects installing French drains or interceptor trenches, and sewer lines. For the purpose of this analysis, projects that require a geotechnical report pursuant to the requirements of TMC 18.45.060 or would require a geotechnical report if not exempt should answer Yes. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 6-0  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 6-0</p>
	<p><b>6-0</b> Will the project involve landscaping or re-occurring outdoor maintenance that includes the regular use of fertilizers, pesticides, or herbicides? This does not include the one-time use of transplant fertilizers. Landscaping means natural vegetation such as trees, shrubs, groundcover, and other landscape materials arranged in a manner to produce an aesthetic effect appropriate for the use of the land (TMC 18.06.490). For the purpose of this analysis, this includes the establishment of new lawn or grass. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> – Checklist Complete  <b>YES</b> – Checklist Complete</p>

**Part B: Please answer each question below for projects that include grading. Review each question carefully, considering all phases of your project including, but not limited to construction, normal operation, potential emergency operation, and ongoing and scheduled maintenance. Continue to the next question as directed for each No or Yes answer.**

<p><b>1-1</b></p>	<p>Will the project involve the modification of a watercourse bank or bank of the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers between the ordinary high water mark and top of bank? This includes any projects that will require grading on any slope leading to a river or stream, but will not require work below the ordinary high water mark. Work below the ordinary high water mark is covered in Part C. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 1-2  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 1-2</p>
<p><b>1-2</b></p>	<p>Could the construction, operation, or maintenance of the project result in sediment transport off site or increased rates of erosion and/or sedimentation in watercourses, the Green/Duwamish rivers, or the Black River? Most projects that involve grading have the potential to result in increased erosion and/or sedimentation as a result of disturbances to the soil or earth. If your project involves grading and you have not prepared a Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan specifically designed to retain 100 percent of the runoff (including during construction) from impervious surface or disturbed soils, answer Yes to this question. If your project is normally exempt under the Tukwila Municipal Code and would not require the preparation of a Temporary Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan, BUT may still result in erosion or sediment transport off site or beyond the work area, answer Yes to this question. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 1-3  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 1-3</p>
<p><b>1-3</b></p>	<p>Will the project result in the construction of new impervious surfaces? Impervious surfaces include those hard surfaces which prevent or restrict the entry of water into the soil in the manner that such water entered the soils under natural conditions prior to development; or a hard surface area that causes water to run off the surface in greater quantity or at an increased rate of flow from the flow presented under natural conditions prior to development. Such areas include, but are not limited to, rooftops, asphalt or concrete paving, compacted surfaces, or other surfaces that similarly affect the natural infiltration or runoff patterns existing prior to development (TMC 18.06.445). <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 2-0 (Page 2)  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 1-4</p>
<p><b>1-4</b></p>	<p>Will your project generate stormwater from the creation of impervious surfaces that will not be infiltrated on site? For the purpose of this analysis, infiltration includes the use of a stormwater treatment and management system intended to contain all stormwater on site by allowing it to seep into pervious surface or through other means to be introduced into the ground. If your project involves the construction of impervious surface and does not include the design of a stormwater management system specifically designed to infiltrate stormwater, answer Yes to this question. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 2-0 (Page 2)  <b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 2-0 (Page 2)</p>

**Part C: Please review each question below for projects that include clearing. Review each question carefully, considering all phases of your project including, but not limited to construction, normal operation, potential emergency operation, and ongoing and scheduled maintenance. Continue to the next question as directed for each No or Yes answer.**

2-1	Will the project involve clearing within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b> NO - Continue to Question 3-0 (Page 2) YES - Continue to Question 2-2
2-2	Will the project involve clearing of any trees within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? A tree is defined by TMC 18.06.845 as any self-supporting woody plant, characterized by one main trunk, with a potential diameter-breast-height of 2 inches or more and potential minimum height of 10 feet. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b> NO - Continue to Question 2-3 YES - Continue to Question 2-3
2-3	Will the project involve clearing of any evergreen trees from within 200 feet of the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? For the purpose of this analysis evergreen means any tree that does not regularly lose all its leaves or needles in the fall. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b> NO - Continue to Question 2-4 YES - Continue to Question 2-4
2-4	Will the project involve clearing within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b> NO - Continue to Question 3-0 (Page 2) YES - Continue to Question 2-5
2-5	Will the project involve clearing within 40 feet of the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b> NO - Continue to Question 3-0 (Page 2) YES - Continue to Question 3-0 (Page 2)

**Part D: Please review each question below for projects that include work below the ordinary high water mark of watercourses or the Duwamish/Green or Black Rivers or in wetlands. Review each question carefully, considering all phases of your project including, but not limited to, construction, normal operation, potential emergency operation, and ongoing and scheduled maintenance. Continue to the next question as directed for each No or Yes answer.**

<p><b>3-1</b></p>	<p>Will the project involve the direct alteration of the channel or bed of a watercourse, the Green/Duwamish rivers, or Black River? For the purpose of this analysis, channel means the area between the ordinary high water mark of both banks of a stream, and bed means the stream bottom substrates, typically within the normal wetted-width of a stream. This includes both temporary and permanent modifications. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-2</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-2</p>
<p><b>3-2</b></p>	<p>Will the project involve any physical alteration to a watercourse or wetland connected to the Green/Duwamish River? For the purpose of this analysis, "connected to the river means" flowing into via a surface connection or culvert, or having other physical characteristics that allow for access by salmonids. This includes impacts to areas such as sloughs, side channels, remnant oxbows, ditches formed from channelized portions of natural watercourses or any area that may provide off channel rearing habitat for juvenile fish from the Duwamish River. This includes both temporary construction alterations and permanent modifications. Watercourses or wetlands draining to the Green/Duwamish River that have a hanging culvert, culvert with a flap gate, diversion, or any entirely man-made or artificial structure that precludes fish access should answer Yes to this question. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-3</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-3</p>
<p><b>3-3</b></p>	<p>Will the project result in the construction of a new structure or hydraulic condition that could be a barrier to salmonid passage within the watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? For the purpose of this analysis, a barrier means any artificial or human modified structure or hydraulic condition that inhibits the natural upstream or downstream movement of salmonids, including both juveniles and adults. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-4</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-4</p>
<p><b>3-4</b></p>	<p>Will the project involve a temporary or permanent change in the cross-sectional area of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? For the purpose of this analysis, the cross-sectional area is defined as a profile taken from the ordinary high water mark on the right bank to the ordinary high water mark on the left bank. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-5</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-5</p>
<p><b>3-5</b></p>	<p>Will the project require the removal of debris from within the ordinary high water mark of a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers? For the purpose of this analysis, debris includes, but is not limited to fallen trees, logs, shrubs, rocks, piles, rip-rap, submerged metal, and broken concrete or other building materials. Projects that would require debris removal from a watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers as part of a maintenance activity should answer Yes to this question. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-6</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-6</p>

**Part D (continued)**

<p><b>3-6</b></p>	<p>Will the project result in impacts to watercourses or wetlands that have a surface connection to another watercourse or the Green/Duwamish or Black Rivers but do not contain habitat conditions that support salmonid use? Such areas may include, but not be limited to hillside seeps and wetlands isolated from the watercourse or river that have a surface water connection to the watercourse or river but are not assessable, nor would be assessable to salmonids under natural conditions. Wetlands with a "functions and values" rating for baseflow/groundwater support of 9 and above (or moderate) as described in Cooke (1996) should be included. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-7</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-7</p>
<p><b>3-7</b></p>	<p>Will the project include the construction of artificial waterways or wetlands connected to a watercourse containing salmonids? For the purpose of this analysis, the construction of artificial waterways or wetlands includes wetlands, channels, sloughs, or other habitat feature created to enhance wildlife use, particularly waterfowl use, or may be attractive to wildlife, particularly waterfowl. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 3-8</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 3-8</p>
<p><b>3-8</b></p>	<p>Will the project include bank stabilization? For the purpose of this analysis, bank stabilization includes, but is not limited to, rip-rap, rock, log, soil, or vegetated revetments, concrete structures, or similar structures. <b>Please mark the appropriate response.</b></p> <p><b>NO</b> - Continue to Question 4-0 (Page 2)</p> <p><b>YES</b> - Continue to Question 4-0 (Page 2)</p>

# STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT CHECKLIST

Please respond to all questions. Use separate sheets as necessary.

## Applicant Responses:

Agency Comments

### A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:  
Addendum to Comprehensive Plan FEIS (L92-0053)\_\_\_\_\_
2. Name of Applicant:  
City of Tukwila DCD\_\_\_\_\_
3. Date checklist prepared:  
July 6, 2015\_\_\_\_\_
4. Agency requesting checklist:  
City of Tukwila
5. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):  
Council review and adoption by the end of 2015.\_\_\_\_\_
6. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.  
No\_\_\_\_\_
7. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.  
This is an addendum to an existing FEIS for the 1995 Comprehensive Plan (L92-0053)
8. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.  
No\_\_\_\_\_
9. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal.  
City Council adoption of 2015 portion of update to Comprehensive Plan per RCW 36.70a.130.
10. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.  
Changes to the Housing, Residential Neighborhoods, Tukwila International Boulevard District (formerly Transportation Corridors) elements, as well as technical revisions to Introduction, Vision, Glossary and Land Use Map Legend as the 2015 completion of f

Tukwila's multi-year update to the Comprehensive Plan as required by RCW36.70a.130.

- 11. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, the tax lot number, and section, township, and range. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Polices apply city-wide

- 12. Does the proposal lie within an area designated on the City's Comprehensive Land Use Policy Plan Map as environmentally sensitive?

N.A.

**B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site (circle one):  flat,  rolling,  hilly,  steep slopes,  mountainous, other:

Varies city-wide

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Varied

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Varies city-wide

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

None proposed.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use?

If so, generally describe.

No development project proposed

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Varies throughout city, and no change is proposed.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

None needed.

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (for example, dust, automobile odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

None needed

3. Water

- a. Surface:

- 1. Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

City-wide—Green/Duwamish River

- 2. Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes

- 3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None

- 4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.

No

5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

City-wide.

6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No

b. Ground:

1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities, if known.

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes

2. Describe waste materials that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve:

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1. Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

No change will result directly from policy changes

2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

No construction or change in use will result directly from policy changes

4. Plants

- a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site: Varies Citywide--N.A.

	Deciduous Tree
	Evergreen Tree
	Shrubs
	Grass
	Pasture
	Crop or grain
	Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
	Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
	Other types of vegetation

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No impacts to vegetation will result directly from these policy changes.

- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N.A. Citywide locations

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

N.A. No development/landscaping proposed as a direct result of policy changes. .

5. Animals

- a. Circle any birds or animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site: N.A.

Birds:	Hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
Mammals:	Deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
Fish:	Bass, trout, salmon, herring, shellfish, other:
Other:	

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Some citywide...salmon in river

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Citywide....Pacific flyway

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

No impacts, so no preservation/enhancement

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

No project will result directly from policy changes.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No project/use change resulting directly from policy changes

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

N.A.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

No construction or change in use will occur as a direct result of policy changes.

1. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None

2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

None

b. Noise

1. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

N.A.

2. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

No noise impacts as a direct result of policy changes.

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

N.A.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Varied uses city-wide

- b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Not since development of the Southcenter area in the 1960's.

- c. Describe any structures on the site.

Varied structures citywide.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Not as a direct result of policy changes.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Varied city-wide

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Varied city-wide

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Varies citywide, including shoreline residential, urban conservancy, high intensity designations.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Varies citywide.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

No change from existing conditions will result from policy changes.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

None as a result of policy changes.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None necessary.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

All future development that results from policy changes will be required to comply with zoning and development codes to ensure compatibility with existing plans and uses.

## 9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing?

No housing is planned as a direct result of policy changes. Housing and Residential Neighborhoods elements discuss the need for a range of housing options for all residents, as well as the retention and improvement of affordable housing.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

None

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

None necessary.

## 10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

N.A.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No specific changes are expected or proposed as a result of policy changes.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:  
No specific development is proposed as a result of policy changes. Future development will be subject to environmental and design review as appropriate.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?  
N.A.
- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?  
N.A.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?  
N.A.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:  
None necessary.

12. Recreation

- a. What designed and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?  
Residential Neighborhoods element discusses the desirability of neighborhood gathering places
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.  
No
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:  
None necessary.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, National, State, or Local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.  
No.
- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.  
Various throughout city—Duwamish Gardens, Nelsen homestead/landing site, native fishing areas.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Environmental review will be prepared for specific projects.

#### 14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

City-wide road and highway system exists.

- b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Bus and light rail service serves sites throughout city.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

N.A.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No development planned as a direct result of policy changes. Residential Neighborhoods and Tukwila International Boulevard District elements discuss the desirability of connected neighborhoods, and the ability to walk to services. Tukwila International Boulevard District element vision calls for increased sidewalks and possible through streets.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

N.A.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

N.A. Citywide, new street development would be subject to transportation impact fees.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

None necessary.

#### 15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No changes in use are anticipated as a direct result of policy changes.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

None necessary.

---

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system

Utilities are available citywide.

---

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

No change from existing conditions.

---

**See attached additional pages that address non-project issues, and more detailed description of prior environmental work and proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments (2015).**

**(NON-PROJECT PROPOSALS (E.G., SUBURBAN PLANS AND ZONING CODE TEXT CHANGES) MUST COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING PAGES).**

**C. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT PROPOSALS**  
(do not use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

Please respond to all questions. Use separate sheets as necessary.

**Applicant Responses:**  
Comments

Agency

1. How would the proposals be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

No specific impacts resulting from policy changes. Any construction, such as future parks or facilities in residential neighborhoods or on Tukwila International Boulevard, will use best practices to limit impacts.

---

---

---

---

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:  
No specific development will result from the policy changes. All future construction will use best management practices. Individual development projects may require environmental review that will address any future impacts.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?  
No specific impacts will result from the policy changes. All future construction will use best management practices to minimize impacts to flora and fauna.

±

---

---

---

---

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Individual projects will require environmental review that will address any specific future impacts when the project is developed and impacts are known.

Please respond to all questions. Use separate sheets as necessary.

**Applicant Responses:**

Agency

Comments

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

Additional future development is not planned as a direct result of policy changes. Future development is likely to require use of energy for operations

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Future development will have access to energy conservation programs through Seattle City Light and Puget Sound Energy, as well as the City of Tukwila Recycling program and Waste Management. Energy conserving design is encouraged per the Comprehensive Plan Utilities element

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitats, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

No specific development projects are anticipated as a result of policy changes. Any projects that occur will be subject to environmental review that will address these issues and their specific impacts when known.

---

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

---

Policies generally stress the importance of historic preservation and environmental stewardship.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

---

No specific development projects are planned as a result of policy changes. All future development will be required to comply with current landuse and shoreline development regulations.

---

Please respond to all questions. Use separate sheets as necessary.

**Applicant Responses:**

Agency

Comments

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

---

No specific development projects are planned as a result of policy changes. Any future development occurring in the shoreline zone will be subject to the Shoreline Master Program and land use development regulations. Other future development projects will be subject to environmental review.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public service and utilities?  
No specific development projects are planned as a result of policy changes. Future development is likely to use roads, public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

---

Future development will be subject to transportation and parks impact fees. Utilities use is subject to utilities taxes. Future parks are likely to

be located with consideration to pedestrian, bicycle and public transit access. The Residential Neighborhoods and Tukwila International Boulevard Elements encourage connectivity through additional paths and sidewalks, and implementation of the Walk and Roll Plan for non-motorized circulation.

---

---

---

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with Local, State, or Federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.  
No projects are planned as a result of these policy changes. No conflicts with local, state or federal requirements are anticipated.

**D. SIGNATURE**

Under the penalty of perjury the above answers under ESA Screening Checklist and State Environmental Policy Act Checklist are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature:

*Rebecca Fox*

---

Date Submitted:

*July 6, 2015*

**See following pages for additional information on prior EIS work/addendum and proposed policies.**